Exhibit C

Oren Blonstein Deposition Transcript Excerpts

In the Matter Of:

In Re - Celsius Network LLC

OREN BLONSTEIN

November 22, 2022



1 1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 4 In re) 5 CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, et al., Case No. 22-10964 (MG) 6 Debtor. 7 8 9 10 ** CONFIDENTIAL ** 11 12 13 VIDEO RECORDED EXAMINATION OF 14 OREN BLONSTEIN 15 TAKEN ON 16 17 TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 2022 18 19 20 21 22 CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: JESSIE WAACK, RDR, CRR, CCRR, NYRCR, NYACR, 23 CCR-NJ (No. 30XI008238700) CSR-TX (No. 11958) CCR-WA (No. 21007264), CSR-CA (No. 14420), 24 REALTIME SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR JOB NO.: 872582 25

		13
1	*****	-
2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	November 22, 2022, 9:51 a.m.	
4	New York, New York	
5	****	
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning,	
7	everyone. We are now on the record.	
8	My name is Kevin-Scott van Vlijmen.	
9	I'm the videographer retained by	
LO	Lexitas.	
11	This is a video deposition for	
12	the United States Bankruptcy Court,	
13	Southern District of New York.	
L4	Today's date is November 22 of	
15	2022, and the video time is 9:51 a.m.	
16	This deposition is being held	
L7	both remotely via Zoom as well as in	
18	person at Kirkland & Ellis LLP, at	
L9	601 Lexington Avenue, New York,	
20	New York, 10022, in the matter of In	
21	Re: Celsius Network LLC, et al., Case	
22	No. 22-10964 (MG).	
23	The deponent is Oren Blonstein.	
24	All counsel will be noted on the	
25	stenographic record.	
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

1	Our court reporter is Jessica	
2	Waack and will now swear in the	
3	witness.	
4	****	
5	OREN BLONSTEIN, sworn	
6	on oath and/or affirmed, called as a	
7	witness herein, was examined and testified	
8	as follows:	
9	****	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may	
11	proceed.	
12	MS. BRIER: At the outset, I'm	
13	just going to designate this transcript	
14	as confidential the entire	
15	transcript as confidential.	
16	ZOOM PARTICIPANT: On Zoom, you	
17	can't [inaudible] do that?	
18	MS. BRIER: You can't hear us?	
19	THE STENOGRAPHER: I think	
20	someone just wasn't muted.	
21	ZOOM PARTICIPANT: You are	
22	currently muted.	
23	THE STENOGRAPHER: Can we go off	
24	the record?	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. All	

```
15
     right.
 1
           The time is currently 9:53 a.m.
 2
 3
     And we are going off the record for
 4
     Media Unit No. 1.
 5
           (Whereupon, a recess was taken at
           9:53 a.m.)
 6
 7
           THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                               All right.
     The time is currently 9:53 a.m.
 8
 9
     we're back on the record for Media Unit
     No. 1.
10
           MS. BRIER: At the outset, I am
11
     going to designate the entire
12
13
     transcript as confidential under the
14
     terms of the protective order.
15
           No information discussed during
16
     this deposition may be recorded or
17
     publicly disclosed whether orally, on
     Twitter, on podcasts or any other
18
19
     means.
           At this point, the only
20
     individuals who should be on this
2.1
22
     deposition, or entities and agencies,
     are those who have provided prior
23
24
     notice that they would attend or
25
     participate in the deposition and those
```

Lexitas

		16
1	that are bound by the terms of the	10
2	protective order.	
3	So if you don't meet that	
4	description, please sign off. We don't	
5	want to have any issues with folks	
6	livestreaming or Tweeting thoughts or	
7	commentary on what's going on today who	
8	hasn't agreed to the protective order.	
9	And as before, we're happy to	
LO	meet and confer with folks after this	
11	about de-designating portions of this.	
12	But just to protect confidential	
13	information for purposes of today,	
L4	we're designating the transcript as	
15	confidential.	
16	MR. COLODNY: All right.	
L7	MS. BRIER: Go ahead.	
18	EXAMINATION	
L9	BY MR. COLODNY:	
20	Q. Mr. Blonstein, my name is Aaron	
21	Colodny. I'm a partner at White & Case. I	
22	represent the Official Committee of	
23	Unsecured Creditors in these cases.	
24	Can you please introduce	
25	yourself, again, for the record?	

17 My name is Oren Blonstein. 1 Α. Sure. I work at Celsius Network. 2 Head of innovation and chief compliance officer. 3 Q. 4 Thank you. 5 So I'm going to ask you a series 6 of questions today. If you don't understand the question that I ask you, 7 please let me know. 8 9 Α. Sure. 10 Q. I'll try to restate it. If you don't ask me for any 11 clarification, I'll assume that you 12 13 understand the question that I'm asking. 14 You've taken an oath today. 15 That's the same oath that you're going to take in a courtroom. You understand that 16 everything that you say today is going to 17 be true and correct to the best of your 18 19 knowledge? 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 Is there any reason you can't testify truthfully today? 22 Α. No. 23 24 Have you taken any medications or 25 other substances that would affect your

18 ability to testify truthfully? 1 2 Α. No. 3 Thank you. Q. We have a court reporter here 4 5 She's got the hardest job in the today. 6 And so I would ask that we try not to talk over each other. 7 Sometimes I speak too fast. 8 Ι know that's something people do, you know, 9 10 but it is best to slow it down. And if she asks you to restate something, just say it 11 12 again in the best -- best words you can. 13 And then the last ground rule for 14 that is answer verbally. You know, no 15 "uh-huhs" or --16 No nodding. Α. 17 -- no nodding, just yeses and Q. 18 nos. 19 And then your counsel may lodge 20 objections to my questions. As a general 21 matter, that's to make her objection on the 22 I'll give her time and ask her if she's going to instruct you not to answer 23 24 the question. 25 Unless she instructs you not to

20 Could you just give me one minute 1 Α. to review it? 2 (Pause for reading/reviewing.) 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 What is this document? Q. 6 It's a -- me attesting to the --7 to the ownership of the assets in the 8 program. 9 It's a notice of your deposition Q. 10 today, why we're all here today. 11 Α. Okay. And do you understand that you're 12 0. 13 here today in your personal capacity, or 14 are you here as a representative of Celsius? 15 16 I'm sorry. Can you restate that? Α. Are you here in your personal 17 Ο. capacity or as a representative of Celsius? 18 19 MS. BRIER: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't think 20 21 I understand the -- I mean, in my 22 personal capacity --BY MR. COLODNY: 23 24 Are you testifying as Oren Blonstein, or are you testifying as the 25

49

- Q. A lot of hats.
- A. It is.

1

2

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And do your responsibilities as a chief product officer differ from what we talked about as a chief innovation officer?
- A. Yeah, tremendously. Yeah. Very different.
 - Q. Okay. And what are your roles as a chief product officer?
- A. Chief product officer -- so the distinction between innovation and product is that innovation was supposed to be all of the new products going forward.

The product team, you know,
historically was responsible for the kind
of -- the existing set of products, the
platform, you know, all the maintenance and
the administration of all those things.

So since there is no separate innovation -- since I'm the innovation person and the product person, I'm kind of doing the whole thing.

Again, you can just say -- similar to compliance, you can say that in a lot of different organizations they'll

50

```
have, like, new products and existing
 1
     products as the -- under the same umbrella.
 2
               And in the case of Celsius, it
 3
     was distinct when I started.
 4
 5
               And did you segment your teams
          Q.
     underneath you? So, like, you would have
 6
     your product team and your innovation team,
 7
     or was it kind of blended between each
 8
     other?
 9
10
               Yeah, this change happened post
     the Chapter 11 filing, so there is -- you
11
12
     know, there's one person left from the
13
     innovation team. So -- and he's -- and
14
     we've incorporated him into the product
15
     team.
16
          Q.
               And your appointment as chief
17
     product officer happened after the
18
     Chapter 11 case?
19
               And -- yeah, and actually --
     sorry to -- I should clarify, there has
20
2.1
     been no official appointment. You know,
22
     I'm not designated as the chief compliance
     officer -- as a chief product officer.
23
24
               I've just been taking on that
25
     responsibility. I think the -- from my
```

51 perspective, we wanted to make sure that we 1 had a transition plan for the CCO role 2 before we changed my, you know, official 3 4 title. 5 And prior to the Q. Okay. Chapter 11 case, did you do any work on the 6 7 existing earned product or the existing offerings of the company? 8 9 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 10 BY MR. COLODNY: I guess I'll ask it again. 11 Q. 12 You said that you became the 13 chief product officer or assumed the roles 14 of the chief product officer after the 15 Chapter 11 case, and you described the 16 chief product officer -- the difference between the chief product officer and the 17 chief innovation officer as product being 18 19 focused on earned and existing offerings, 20 and innovation being premised on future 2.1 earnings and future products. 22 Did you have any involvement in the earn and past products prior to the 23 24 Chapter 11 cases?

25

In my product capacity -- product

52 or innovation capacity, not really. 1 mean, nothing of substance that I can 2 3 remember. Okay. And the other capacity 4 Q. 5 would be? 6 Α. Compliance. I mean, so, you 7 know, since -- until April 2022, every 8 customer was an earn customer pretty 9 much --10 Q. Uh-huh. -- to my knowledge. Every single 11 Α. customer we had fell under my purview as 12 13 the chief compliance officer. 14 Okay. So then we're here today Q. 15 to talk about the terms of use. 16 Can you describe your involvement in the terms of use after -- let's start in 17 September when you joined. September 2021, 18 19 correct? 20 Α. Correct. 2.1 So what was your -- what was your involvement in the terms of use on 22 September 2021, in that first period before 23 you got promoted? 24 I -- again, just to -- I 25

- 1		JJ
	continued with my role as head of	
	innovation while I was the chief compliance	
	officer, so I was also dual hatting at that	
	time.	
	I was not involved in writing	
	terms of use. I was not involved in,	
	like you know, I was not like a step in	
	the process of having those reviewed or	
	approved.	
	I often consulted with them with	
	my innovation hat on from, you know, just	
	verifying, like, how we you know, how we	
	talked about certain things.	
	I often reviewed them in my	
	compliance role for, like, the the one	
	that stands out to me was we often had	
	questions about, like, our privacy policy	
	and, you know, what information we were	
	able to share with, you know, regulators or	
	other kind of third parties that were	
	asking for data about our customers.	
	So I was consulting mainly for	
	those purposes.	
	Q. And you mentioned how we talked	
	about certain things. What are you	

referring to there?

- 2 A. So, for example, the first
- 3 | product that the innovation team kind of
- 4 | sponsored or -- was the swap product. So
- 5 | we worked with our legal and regulatory
- 6 | teams on crafting -- on the final outcome
- 7 | of the terms -- the changes to the terms of
- 8 use for the swap product.
- 9 Just like seeing how do we talk
- 10 | about that, is that consistent with how
- 11 | competitors talk about it, things like
- 12 | that.

1

- 13 Q. And when was the swap product
- 14 | released?
- 15 A. We went into alpha in October
- 16 | '21, and then just did like a slow rollout
- 17 over the months that followed. I think we
- 18 | went general availability May 2022 or
- 19 | something in that range.
- 20 Q. And did you have any other role
- 21 | in how the terms of use referred to
- 22 products other than swap?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Were you involved in the
- 25 | solicitation of acceptances of the terms of

54

55 1 use? 2 Α. No. Could you describe your 3 Q. involvement in the release of terms of use 4 5 Version 6? And when I -- I'm using terms from your declaration. 6 7 Do you understand what I'm 8 saying --9 Α. Yep. 10 Q. -- when I say Version 6? What do you understand Version 6 11 12 to be? This was the -- when we 13 14 transferred the customer relationship from 15 the UK entity to the U.S. entity. 16 Q. Okay. And what was your role in the rollout of Version 6? 17 That was -- that happened when I 18 19 was the head of innovation, not the chief 20 compliance officer. So I had zero -- zero 2.1 direct analysis or influence on it. 22 Again, because I was involved with a lot of different parts of company. 23 24 I knew it was taking place. I also 25 reported to Roni at the time.

56 So, you know, I'm sure, you know, 1 2 parts of that came up in conversation, but 3 it wasn't something that I was tracking directly. 4 5 What was Mr. Cohen-Pavon's Q. Okay. role in the release of Version 6? 6 I think as our -- I should say I Α. don't know exactly, because -- because that 8 9 wasn't the area that I worked in. 10 But my overall understanding was that he was kind of leading that 11 12 initiative. 13 Do you know -- who were the other Ο. 14 main people that worked on the rollout of Version 6? 15 16 I'm sure there were many, many 17 people involved in it. The individual -one individual that I think had a -- played 18 19 a significant role is a guy named Matthew de la Fuente. He was on the 20 2.1 project management team. I think he was coordinating a lot of the activities. 22 Does Matthew still work at the Ο. 23 company? 24 25 I think -- no, he was let go, I

Lexitas

think, last week.

- Q. And what was his role in the rollout of Version 6?
- 4 A. I think as, like, a coordinator.
- 5 You know, he's -- I think he headed our
- 6 | project management office. So just
- 7 | coordinating different -- coordinating
- 8 | various initiatives across different
- 9 departments.
- 10 Q. So Matthew de la Fuente was the
- 11 | project -- project manager that was in
- 12 | charge of the rollout?
- 13 A. I'm not certain, like, if he was,
- 14 | you know -- if there's a piece of paper
- 15 | that says, like, here's the name of the
- 16 | project and who's the head. I'm not sure
- 17 | if he's the quy. But I know that function
- 18 | reported to him. So he would have been,
- 19 | you know, up to date on it.
- 20 Q. So I have a little bit of
- 21 | background here because my wife is a
- 22 | product manager.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. But generally in Celsius, when
- 25 | you had a project like this, would you have

58

```
a product manager, project manager that
 1
     would lead it?
 2
          Α.
 3
               Yeah, yeah.
                            So, like, when
     you -- you know, my experience -- you know,
 4
 5
     20-something years of experience in this --
     in product development and mostly in
 6
     startups, so small startups usually don't
 7
     have project managers, right? Product
 8
 9
     managers do it all. Celsius was scaling
10
     pretty dramatically.
               And Matthew came in as part of
11
     that, to build out a project management
12
13
     function to kind of -- you know, so that
14
     product managers could focus on their --
15
     their area, you know, empathizing with the
16
     user, building products that the people
17
     want.
18
               And project managers could take
19
     the work of coordinating between the
20
     departments.
                      And so Matthew, while he
2.1
               Okay.
22
     might not have been the project manager,
     per se, he was the one that was organizing,
23
     tracking milestones, work plans?
24
25
               Exactly, yeah.
          Α.
```

59 Who was the product manager? Q.

- Because I wasn't I'm not sure. 2
- 3 the -- I wasn't in charge of product at
- 4 that time. I wasn't -- I'm not sure.
- 5 also -- we've had, like, a lot of people
- 6 leaving from the product team.
 - Uh-huh. Q.

1

- 8 So, yeah, I'm not sure.
- 9 Okay. And other than Roni and Q.
- 10 Matthew, any other individuals that jump
- out as -- at you as being kind of the main 11
- 12 people involved in the rollout of terms of
- 13 use Version 6?
- 14 Those are the two people I would
- 15 think. Like, if I had a question about it,
- 16 I would go to those guys for more details.
- 17 I mean -- yeah.
- Were you involved in the rollouts 18
- 19 of Version 7 or 8 of the terms of use?
- 20 Α. Not directly.
- And who was involved in those 2.1 Ο.
- two? 22
- I'm not sure, actually. 23
- 24 don't -- I mean, my assumption would be the
- same individuals that were involved in a 25

60 lot of the terms of use rollout, so legal, 1 2 regulatory, potentially product -- I mean, likely product. 3 Actually, 100 percent product. 4 5 Somebody from product would have had to 6 have been involved because of the way that 7 terms of use -- the way that it's implemented. 8 9 A product manager sort of has to 10 take the text of the terms of use and put it into the -- into the apps. So a product 11 person would have been involved, and a 12 13 project manager would have been involved. 14 Okay. Are you familiar with the 0. 15 QA processing in releasing products that Celsius uses? 16 17 I am familiar -- I am getting more familiar with it now since I've 18 19 assumed the product -- the head of product 20 role or the -- taken on those 2.1 responsibilities. I don't know the details around it, though. 22 And do you know if there was a QA 23 0. head on the rollout of terms of use 24 Version 6? 25

140 you know, it might be missing a page or 1 2 something at a later date. MR. COLODNY: That's fine. 3 4 BY MR. COLODNY: 5 So what you have in front of you Q. is an attachment to the declaration of Alex 6 Mashinsky that you reference in your 7 declaration. And it is showing a redline 8 of terms of use Version 5 to terms of use 9 10 Version 6. Have you reviewed this document 11 before? 12 13 I definitely, like I mentioned 14 before, reviewed -- reviewed the Mashinsky 15 declaration. And I'm aware of it to the 16 extent that anybody can be aware, you know, 17 keep in their mind 1100 pages of content. But, yeah, I would have seen this 18 19 because I scrolled through the entire 20 document. 2.1 So I'll help -- try to move through it quickly, because, agree, it's a 22 long document. 23 On page 1, you'll see Celsius 24 25 Network Ltd. has been crossed out, and now

141 it is Celsius Network LLC and its 1 affiliates, collectively we, our, us and 2 Celsius. 3 Was this one of the main changes 4 5 that you referred to in the previous 6 screen? That's correct. Α. Objection. 8 MS. YANEZ: Form. 9 BY MR. COLODNY: 10 Ο. And then if you look at the next page, the second full paragraph in all bold 11 12 and capitalized letters, it says, "Celsius 13 is a lending and borrowing platform. 14 you transfer digital assets to Celsius, 15 those digital assets are a loan from you to Celsius in accordance with the terms 16 hereof. Under no circumstances does 17 18 Celsius hold digital assets in custody or 19 on behalf as a part of the services 20 governed by these terms." 2.1 Are you aware of any time before this terms of use where Celsius described 22 the transaction between its users and it as 23 24 a loan? 25 I would have to go back and

142 review those again. But, yeah, from -- off 1 the top of my head, I'm not aware of that, 2 3 yeah. Do you think it's material that 4 Q. 5 the transaction changed to be a loan? MS. BRIER: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think 7 it's -- yeah, that's not my -- that's 8 9 kind of not my area. So I don't think 10 my -- my -- I'm not really qualified to 11 weigh in on that. 12 BY MR. COLODNY: 13 Do you think that as a customer Q. 14 of Celsius, this would have jumped out at 15 you from the new terms of use? 16 MS. BRIER: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I think that 17 because it's bolded and underlined, it 18 19 certainly would jump out to someone. 20 So, yeah. BY MR. COLODNY: 2.1 22 And prior to this version, are you aware of Celsius considering the 23 transaction between it and its 24 accountholders to be a loan? 25

143 1 Α. I wasn't really --Objection to form. MS. BRIER: 2 You can answer. 3 Sorry, Oren. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wasn't 4 5 really part of the group of people that was considering, like, the regulatory 6 or legal implications of the terms of 7 8 use; so... 9 BY MR. COLODNY: 10 Okay. I want to turn to page --Q. 11 it's 327 at the top. 12 So here Celsius changes the term 13 "Celsius wallet" to "Celsius account." 14 Do you know why that change was 15 made? I don't know. I don't know. 16 Α. Are there any specific wallets 17 Ο. associated with the earn service? 18 19 strike that. 20 Do customers have a specific wallet at Celsius linked to their account 2.1 22 in connection with the earn service? 23 Objection to form. MS. BRIER: THE WITNESS: So all customers 24 25 are given a deposit address, which

144 is -- so people will commonly call that 1 That deposit address is kind 2 a wallet. of the -- the initial lending place of 3 all digital assets that are sent to the 4 5 company. And that's the extent of a 6 7 distinct or separate or a customer-specific wallet or address 8 that Celsius maintains for customers. 9 10 BY MR. COLODNY: 11 Q. And then what happens once you deposit your coins to that 12 13 customer-specific wallet? 14 Depending -- depending on the 15 volume or the amount of cryptocurrency, 16 periodically that cryptocurrency is swept into main -- other wallets inside the 17 company, pooled omnibus wallets. 18 19 Ο. Okay. But there's -- so there's 20 no specific wallet that holds an 2.1 individual's assets in the earn program? 22 Yeah, again, like the -there's -- the way -- I talked about this 23 in my custody declaration was that, you 24 25 can -- a helpful way to think about this is

145 that there is what the customer could see 1 via the app, and there's the coin movement. 2 And this is a common -- a common 3 4 practice across the industry. There's a 5 ledger that is maintaining the balance that the customer is shown, and then there's the 6 7 actual underlying asset. This is common in traditional finance and in crypto. 8 9 And so your question was -- your 10 question was --BY MR. COLODNY: 11 I can ask another question. 12 Q. 13 Α. Yeah. So you say there's -- is your 14 Q. 15 understanding that what the customer would 16 see, is that the Celsius account as it's defined in this terms of use? 17 18 So when I open up the app and I 19 see Aaron Colodny has three Bitcoin, that's 20 not kept in an Aaron Colodny wallet within Celsius? 2.1 That is correct. 22 Α. 23 Q. But my Celsius account would say, three Bitcoin? 24 25 Objection to form. MS. BRIER:

146 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so to -- so, 2 yeah, just going back to that split. 3 What you see in your app is a representation of what we maintain on a 4 5 ledger of transactions. Where the coins actually sit and whether they're 6 in the customer-specific wallet or the 7 omnibus pooled wallet, what we call the 8 main wallet, totally separate and 9 10 distinct. So you may have -- if you put in 11 three Bitcoin, those three Bit -- and 12 13 those are your only Bitcoin -- for a 14 period of time those three Bitcoin may 15 sit in your individual wallet or the 16 add deposit address, but they could 17 just as easily be -- have been swept, 18 you know, a second after you deposited 19 them to the main wallet. 20 So that's why we don't refer --2.1 we don't connect your view of your 22 balance to where the coins are sitting in our infrastructure. We tie that to 23 24 the -- our tracking of your balances in 25 the ledger.

147 1 BY MR. COLODNY: And so your Celsius account would 2 3 be your ledger balance? Correct. 4 Α. 5 And was a Celsius wallet a Q. different thing prior to Version 6? 6 7 Objection to form. MS. BRIER: I don't -- yeah, I 8 THE WITNESS: 9 don't know the -- I don't know the 10 reasoning why they decided to change it from wallet to account. 11 12 BY MR. COLODNY: 13 Could you turn to page 351 at the Q. 14 top. 15 Α. I'm sorry. What was the page? 16 351 at the top, the docket Q. 17 stamps. Got it. 18 Α. 19 Q. So if you look at the title, 20 "Celsius Removes" -- "Consent to Celsius' 21 Use of Your Digital Assets, " and changes it to "Consent to Celsius' Use of Digital 22 23 Assets." Do you know why "your" was taken 24 out of the title? 25

148 Objection to form. 1 MS. BRIER: Yeah, don't have THE WITNESS: 2 3 firsthand knowledge. I mean, I wasn't involved in the drafting of it, but, 4 5 you know, it's -- it's obviously more clear, you know, based on the other --6 the other terms of use if -- that 7 customers are transferring their assets 8 to the company, so there -- they 9 wouldn't be the customer's assets. 10 BY MR. COLODNY: 11 Okay. And, again, three 12 Q. 13 sentences down -- or three lines down -- I 14 am sorry -- it says -- well, I'll read the 15 whole thing. "In consideration for the rewards 16 17 payable to you on your Celsius account and the use of your services, you grant 18 19 Celsius, subject to the applicable law and 20 for the duration of the period during which 2.1 the eligible digital assets are loaned to 22 us through your Celsius account, all right and title to such digital assets," and it 23 continues. 24 25 Do you know why there was a

149 change from "available" to "loaned to us"? 1 2 MS. BRIER: Object to form. I don't know. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know the reason why 4 5 they -- that change was made. BY MR. COLODNY: 6 7 Q. Two more changes to this paragraph on the next page, 352 at the top. 8 There's a change where it previously said, 9 10 "You may not be able to exercise certain rights of ownership" to "You will not be 11 12 able to exercise rights of ownership." 13 Do you know why that change was 14 made? 15 Α. I don't know. 16 And then the last romanette iii, Q. there is a change where it introduces now a 17 bankruptcy disclaimer that says that a 18 19 customer "may lose its rights to recover or 20 regain ownership of such digital assets and 2.1 other than your rights as a creditor of Celsius under any applicable laws, you may 22 not have any legal remedies or rights in 23 connection with Celsius' obligations to 24 you." 25

150 1 Do you know why that change was made? 2 Object to form. 3 MS. BRIER: THE WITNESS: I don't know. 4 5 BY MR. COLODNY: So we talked about the Coinbase 6 disclosure before where they disclosed in 7 their 10-Q that there was now a bankruptcy 8 9 risk disclosure with respect to the 10 ownership ability to recover assets in accounts on the Coinbase site that was 11 12 highlighted for users in the 10-Q. 13 Are you aware of any 14 communication where Celsius highlighted sub-romanette iii for its customers? 15 16 Α. I'm not aware. And it wasn't listed on the three 17 Ο. main changes to the terms of use we 18 19 discussed before, correct? 20 Α. That's correct. 2.1 Are you aware of any communications discussing flagging these 22 changes in paragraph 13 for accountholders? 23 24 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Am I aware of any

151 communications where we tried to make 1 sure our customers were aware of this, 2 3 the changes? That was the question? 4 BY MR. COLODNY: 5 I was asking a slightly different Q. 6 question. 7 Are you aware of any conversations within Celsius when Version 6 8 was released where people considered 9 10 flagging these changes for customers? Objection to form. 11 MS. BRIER: THE WITNESS: No. And just to 12 13 provide more con- -- you know, just as 14 a reminder, like, at the time that 15 these -- these changes were being 16 considered or were implemented, I was head of innovation. So I wouldn't have 17 been involved. 18 19 It makes even more sense, like, 20 why I wouldn't have been involved firsthand in it. 2.1 BY MR. COLODNY: 22 But you're not aware one way or 23 another if those conversations did or did 24 25 not happen?

152 The part -- the thing that I am 1 Α. 2 sure that they happened among counsel --3 you know, among the attorneys for the company. So -- but I was not a part of 4 5 those discussions. And, yeah. MR. COLODNY: I'm at a pretty 6 7 good breaking point if you want to take a break now? 8 9 MS. BRIER: Okay. Sounds good. MR. COLODNY: Five minutes? 10 11 MS. BRIER: Do you want to break 12 for lunch or --13 THE STENOGRAPHER: Do you want to 14 go off the record for this? 15 MS. BRIER: Yeah, let's go off the record. Yes. 16 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. 18 The time is currently 12:33 p.m., and 19 we are going off the record for Media 20 Unit No. 2 of today's testimony. 2.1 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 22 12:33 p.m.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. 24 The time is currently 12:44 p.m. is continuation of Media Unit No. 2 of 25

153

today's t	estimony.
-----------	-----------

And we are back on the record.

BY MR. COLODNY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. One question to follow up on something we discussed before.

So when customers transferred property to the earn program, you said that they transferred title to the earn program.

How could they then take out a loan with that property they had transferred being collateral for that loan?

THE WITNESS:

MS. BRIER: Objection to form.

Yeah.

So, I mean,

the way I -- I don't have these -these terms memorized, but essentially
you would be foregoing the rewards that
you were -- so let me take a step back.

When you transfer title, you do that in exchange for rewards that you receive for giving the company your coins. When you wanted to then borrow funds from the company, you would forego those rewards that you are exchanging your coins for.

So you basically give up your

154 rewards, but then get the ability to 1 borrow coins. 2 BY MR. COLODNY: 3 Q. And what was the collateral that 4 5 the company was holding? The coins that had been 6 transferred to the company already. 7 Q. And where was that collateral 8 9 held? 10 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. Where was it held? 11 THE WITNESS: 12 In the company's -- you mean, 13 like -- are you asking about, like --14 like, what wallet? 15 BY MR. COLODNY: 16 Q. Right. When I think about having 17 collateral, you have an asset that you can execute on if someone doesn't repay their 18 19 loan. Where were the Bitcoins that were 20 held as collateral, using Bitcoin as a 2.1 general cryptocurrency, for a loan held by 22 the company? 23 24 MS. BRIER: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Where were they

155 Yeah, I think this is -- this 1 held? has been discussed, like, many times, 2 like, in other kind of venues. 3 there's really no material difference 4 5 between the way coins in earn and coins that were collateral were treated. 6 BY MR. COLODNY: 7 So do you have an understanding 8 Q. of how the company could hold collateral 9 10 that it didn't actually hold that was deployed? 11 12 Objection to form. MS. BRIER: 13 THE WITNESS: How could... 14 I mean, the -- it would have 15 been, like, just -- logically, it would 16 be using the same -- the same mechanisms for securing the -- its own 17 assets that it -- that it did for -- I 18 19 mean, in every other aspects. 20 So, for example, if it were 2.1 lending it out to an institution, it should have done -- you know, it should 22 have performed creditworthiness check, 23 24 you know, to make sure it had confidence it would be able to get 25

156 those loaned assets back or had 1 sufficient collateral to protect -- you 2 3 know, to protect against losses. And, I mean, without -- without 4 5 stepping through each one of those things, like, the company should 6 have -- should have been taking steps 7 to make sure that that collateral 8 9 was -- was accessible. 10 BY MR. COLODNY: 11 Q. But there was no separate wallet or structure to hold collateral, correct? 12 13 Object to form. MS. BRIER: 14 THE WITNESS: That is my 15 understanding. We basically -- we went 16 through this kind of in the custody declaration. 17 There was a main wallet, and 18 19 coins that were held in that main 20 wallet were -- other than the funds 2.1 that were there on their path to the custody account, were eligible for 22 deployment. 23 BY MR. COLODNY: 24 Is there any way for the company 25 Ο.

157 to trace a coin that was deposited in earn 1 2 to a specific customer? 3 Α. Deposited... So it's, like -- it's -- the 4 5 other way we can trace. So as they come 6 in, we can -- we know where they came from, 7 obviously. I mean, we know the blockchain address that the coins came from. 8 9 Once they go into the omnibus wallet, they're pooled, and they're largely 10 fungible. Depends a little bit on the 11 12 blockchain, if you want to get into the --13 you know, whether it's an account-based 14 blockchain or a UTXO blockchain. 15 But largely, they're fungible, 16 and you can't distinguish between the coins. 17 So if I, Aaron Colodny, deposited 18 19 a Bitcoin in 2021 into Celsius, there's no 20 way to point to the coins that Celsius has now and say, that one coin is 2.1 Aaron Colodny's Bitcoin? 22 23 Α. We --24 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: We treated them as

158 So since you used Bitcoin as 1 fungible. an example, Bitcoin is UTXO blockchain. 2 So technically every single transaction 3 has a dependency on the prior 4 5 transaction. So you could trace fractions of your coin. 6 But your -- what started out as your, let's say, three Bitcoin might 8 9 have been split into millions of 10 fractions of a Bitcoin. And so, yeah, could we trace 11 12 every fraction of those? It's possible 13 to do that. I mean, that's what the 14 blockchain is for. But practically 15 speaking, that's not how we looked at the coins. We treated them as 16 17 interchangeable. BY MR. COLODNY: 18 19 Do you have an idea of what it 20 would take to trace every single coin that 2.1 was deposited into Celsius to figure out 22 where it ultimately landed? 23 MS. BRIER: Objection to --24 objection to form. 25 Outside the scope. Sorry.

159 Go ahead. 1 Yeah. THE WITNESS: I mean, 2 that's only really possible with UTXO 3 blockchains. And so, like, for the 4 5 vast majority of the assets on the platform were Ethereum-based, ERC-20s, 6 where that's not really realistic. 7 mean, that's not possible. 8 For the UTXO blockchains, that 9 10 would be a massive undertaking. don't know of any -- any CeFi 11 institution that tries to do that. 12 BY MR. COLODNY: 13 14 And you would be trying to trace 15 millions of -- hundreds of thousands of customers to millions and billions of 16 17 assets? 18 That's right. And, again, 19 just -- you know, so if you have an address 20 that has three Bitcoin, you know, the result -- like, if you -- let's say 2.1 22 somebody wanted to withdraw 10 Bitcoin. We might collect the three 23 Bitcoin from the address that you sent it 24 to and then, you know, one other from seven 25

160 other addresses to piece that together into 1 10 Bitcoin that we would send to someone 2 3 who made a withdrawal request. So it's -- yeah, it's very 4 5 complicated to do that. 6 So you said before that you weren't involved in the product rollout of 7 solicitation of acceptances for Version 6 8 of the terms of use, correct? 9 10 Α. That's correct. And I believe you mentioned that 11 Q. Roni -- and I'm blanking on the 12 individual's name? 13 Α. Yarden. 14 15 Q. Yarden was the head of product --16 Oh, sorry. Α. Not head of product, the product 17 Q. head or -- of the Version 6 rollout? 18 19 MS. BRIER: Object to form. THE WITNESS: So there was --20 2.1 there was Roni, in his capacity as kind 22 of head of regulatory or the -- you know, the person who kind of -- you 23 24 know, person at the top of the 25 regulatory.

303 1 correct? MS. BRIER: Objection. Outside 2 3 the scope. 4 You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: The -- so what I was saying is that it's difficult to 6 trace the exact coin -- the exact coin 7 that a person -- an ERC-20 that a 8 9 person deposited into our platform as 10 it moved throughout the platform in terms of -- you know, we treated them 11 as fungible once they came into the 12 13 platform. 14 BY MR. CREWS: 15 Q. Makes sense. 16 So in the UTXO, you're seeing the flow from wallet to wallet? 17 18 Α. Exactly, yeah. 19 Q. Have you taken any steps as a 20 company to make sure that customer deposits 21 are going towards earning rewards for them? 22 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. And objection to scope, but you can 23 24 answer. 25 Sorry. THE WITNESS: Just

304 repeating...

So we maintain a record of coins that our customers send to us on that ledger, and then there's the coin movements.

You know, and -- almost regardless of what actually was going on with those tokens that they sent in, we were calculating based on the reward rate what -- what rewards were owed to them on the ledger.

So kind of regardless of, like, where their coin was or if -- if a particular coin that was sent -- that was sent in was actually loaned out or deployed on DeFi, that didn't really matter, because how we calculated the rate was -- or the rate that we set was used by the software that calculated the obligation to the customer on the ledger.

BY MR. CREWS:

2.1

Q. Yeah. So a customer such as myself, we could see the obligation that we're owed, but in order for the reward to

305 be useful, Celsius would presumably have to 1 be actually using our deposit to actually 2 3 earn something? 4 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. Outside the scope. 5 THE WITNESS: No, no. 6 I mean, 7 it's the -- it's -- I was gonna make the point -- if I understood you 8 correctly, making kind of the opposite 9 10 point is that it doesn't -- you know, those coins could have sat there and 11 not been deployed at all and we may 12 13 have not generated any yield. 14 But we would have calculated --15 you know, the -- their interest rate 16 would have been -- there -- an interest rate would have been set or rewards 17 18 rate would have been set and the ledger 19 would be calculating what is owed to 20 you based on that rate. 2.1 So it was kind of -- you know, it 22 was -- the activity of the coins was separate from the rewards that were 23 24 paid out.

BY MR. CREWS:

25

306 So was the reward paid out 1 Ο. 2 calibrated to the deployment activities? 3 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 4 Outside the scope. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I should -- I mean, I was not involved in 6 I was not involved in 7 setting rates. 8 the payouts. I was not involved in the 9 I was not involved in -- you ledger. 10 know, like, almost all of those things. And obviously, you know, kind of 11 the proof is in the pudding, right? 12 13 And the output is that obviously the 14 deployment activities were not 15 sufficient for the rates that were -the rates that were advertised. 16 BY MR. CREWS: 17 So would you say that assertions 18 19 that 8 percent of revenues go towards 20 paying rewards would be inaccurate? 2.1 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 22 Outside the scope. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CREWS: 24 And can you provide any 25 Ο.

307 assurances that deposits that customers 1 2 made did not go towards paying out 3 departing customers? Objection to form. 4 MS. BRIER: Outside the scope. 5 No, I can't. THE WITNESS: 6 7 BY MR. CREWS: I want to do a screen share. And 8 Q. this is the exhibit I emailed the court 9 Sorry, not my email box. 10 reporter. 11 second. So this was prepared looking at 12 13 the SOFA report in combination with the 974 14 document of balances. And what's depicted 15 here from the left is a customer -- a 16 corporate customer based in Australia, who 17 deposited 131,000 USDC into their Celsius account on 8:28 a.m., the date of the pause 18 19 on June 12. 20 Now, this specific entry in the 2.1 SOFA report is on page 5448. And it was used -- essentially a guery -- pull the 22 transaction from the blockchain to show 23 that this was funded from their Binance 24 25 account.

308 So at 8:28, \$131,000 flowed from 1 this customer's Binance account into their 2 personalized deposit address at Celsius. 3 4 Five minutes later those funds went into 5 Celsius's wallet No. 5. There were nine transfers 6 7 throughout the day into a Celsius frictional wallet, as you've referred to 8 it, and I believe you said it is a Celsius 9 10 terminology. 11 There was one particular transfer, 8:41 a.m., in the amount of 12 13 \$789,000 USDC, and there were a total of 7 million transfers and nine different 14 15 transactions that day, which were in the table below. 16 17 Later that day, there were 18 withdrawals made by two separate customers, 19 refer to this as customer B and customer C, \$99,000 were withdrawn to 20 at 5:19 p.m. this person's personal deposit address at 2.1 22 FTX, and it was then transferred internally to their comingle address. 23 24 Another customer at 5:04 p.m. withdrew \$36,000 into their Coinbase 25

Exhibit C Oren Blonstein

1		
1	personalized deposit address and another	309
2	\$100,000 just later.	
3	Assuming that this exhibit is	
4	accurate, would it be plausible for	
5	customers B and C to the right to think	
6	that their or, sorry, for customer A to	
7	think that customers B and C were receiving	
8	their USDC?	
9	MS. BRIER: And, Mr. Blonstein,	
10	before you answer, Mr. Crews, can you	
11	provide some additional context for	
12	this document?	
13	And who created this?	
14	MR. CREWS: I created it.	
15	MS. BRIER: Where did it come	
16	from?	
17	MR. CREWS: Yeah.	
18	MS. BRIER: And what is it based	
19	on?	
20	MR. CREWS: It's based upon the	
21	SOFA report which is 973 document, the	
22	balance transfer document, 974, and	
23	blockchain analysis that I've	
24	conducted.	
25	MS. BRIER: So, Mr. Blonstein	

310 1 objection to foundation and outside the 2 scope. 3 And, Mr. Blonstein, to the extent that you can verify and know the 4 5 information that he's asking you about, 6 you can answer in that context and that 7 context only. BY MR. CREWS: 8 9 Perhaps first we can start, would Q. 10 the flow of funds from a personalized deposit address into Celsius's wallet 11 12 No. 5, into a frictional wallet, is that 13 consistent with your understanding of how 14 transfers work with Celsius? 15 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 16 And outside the scope. 17 THE WITNESS: Should I -- okay to 18 answer, or... 19 MS. BRIER: That question you can 20 answer. To the extent he's asking you 2.1 questions about this chart, I would 22 answer if you know and understand that what's there is true, and only if you 23 know what's there is true. 24 25 THE WITNESS: I think some of

311 this is in line with my declaration on 1 2 the custody -- my concern -- my custody declaration and the flow of coins 3 4 between wallets. 5 So the personalized deposit address in the declaration, we call 6 7 those the bridge -- bridge wallets, and those were periodically swept to a main 8 9 wallet, which maybe you're calling 10 wallet No. 5. And then that is true that 11 periodically assets were moved from the 12 main wallet into frictional. 13 14 true. 15 I mean, you know, my 16 understanding is that, like, because 17 this is a pooled account -- a pooled wallet, it's not -- I don't think it's 18 19 like a -- it's not necessarily a good 20 conclusion to make that if you're 2.1 saying that the customer on the 22 right -- the customers on the right were -- that's what I think your 23 24 question was. 25 Should the customers on the

312 right -- or should the customer on the 1 left think that the customers on the 2 3 right were withdrawing his coins -- his or her coins, is that -- that was 4 5 your... BY MR. CREWS: 6 7 Essentially, I think I'd Q. Yeah. agree with you that when it comes to --8 9 it's essentially similar to once you have 10 commingled funds in an account, you can't specifically say that, you know -- like, 11 12 it's basically if you're pulled over by a 13 cop and you say, I pay your salary. 14 can't really say that, although maybe it's 15 true in a sense. 16 Α. Uh-huh. 17 But I suppose it was -- do you Ο. think that -- put it this way, is there any 18 19 measure that Celsius has taken to prevent 20 commingling such that customer A wouldn't 2.1 think that their funds are just going to 22 pay out departing customers? 23 Objection to form. MS. BRIER: This is far outside the scope of the 24 terms of use issues that we're here to 25

313 discuss today. 1 You can answer, if you know. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, 4 it's -- yeah, it's pretty far outside 5 of what I worked on. You're asking 6 about what measures were in place to --7 you know, because of the -- what 8 measures were in place to potentially prevent something like that from 9 10 happening. Funds were commingled. 11 Coins were commingled. So it's very hard to 12 13 make that conclusion. 14 Like, you know, you're not -- in 15 this diagram, you're not necessarily 16 reflecting that other withdrawals might have been made at this time to --17 18 sorry, not withdrawals -- deployments 19 may have been made from wallet No. 5 20 to, you know, institutions that were 2.1 borrowing or to DeFi. 22 You know, other customers may have deposited -- the same customers, 23 24 for instance, that you're showing on 25 the right may have also deposited.

314 So there's a lot of other -- so 1 2 there's a lot of other possibilities. 3 And I think, you know, the -this is why generally a lot of the CeFi 4 5 crypto industry, the centralized crypto industry has defaulted to this kind of 6 7 setup is that operationally this is simpler to manage. You're not trying 8 9 to have to track individual user coins 10 and -- you know -- you know, the simplicity of having a pool of assets. 11 But, yeah -- so, I mean, that's 12 13 my answer. 14 BY MR. CREWS: 15 Q. I'd like to move on to questions 16 regarding the API agreement with partners. 17 Are you aware that Vermont issued 18 a cease and desist letter, Docket 19 No. 22-021-S? 20 MS. BRIER: Objection to form. 2.1 Outside the scope. 22 THE WITNESS: I mean, I was aware that there were many -- many states 23 were sending us -- yeah, we were --24 25 I mean, I don't know -- actually

315 know of that specific document, but I 1 do remember Vermont had sent us -- had 2 3 sent us something. 4 BY MR. CREWS: 5 I have this excerpt from Q. Vermont's letter that I'd like you to read, 6 Sections 27 through 28. And then 29 as 7 well. I could read aloud. It's about the 8 9 Celsius API partner program. 27 says --10 MS. BRIER: Can you read this, 11 Oren? 12 THE WITNESS: I can't read what's 13 on the screen. 14 MR. CREWS: I can make it bigger. 15 MS. BRIER: And, Mr. Crews, can 16 you give us more detail on what you're 17 showing? I can't read it myself. MR. CREWS: Yeah. This is 18 19 describing the Celsius API partner 20 program in the letter that Vermont 2.1 sent. 22 MS. BRIER: What page are you on, 23 for the record? It's on page 6 of the 24 MR. CREWS: 25 document.